

Appendix 1

EBC Cumulative Impact Area consultation – summary of responses

4 September 2017

1. Eastbourne's Cumulative Impact Area was put in place in July 2007 to help prevent crime and disorder, increase public safety, prevent public nuisance and protect children from harm in the centre of town. Our licensing policy and the Cumulative Impact Area element of it, seeks to balance the needs of businesses operating in town and the rights of residents living near them. We are reviewing this policy and would like to hear from residents, businesses and local organisations about the size of the area to which the Cumulative Impact Policy applies. The full policy can be viewed here (see Appendix 2). When you have finished reading the policy click 'back' in your web browser to return to this survey. Do you think the Cumulative Impact Area should:

		Response Percent	Response Total
1	Be reduced (by removing streets in the Little Chelsea area)	13.83%	13
2	Kept the same	76.60%	72
3	Be removed completely	3.19%	3
4	Other (please specify):	6.38%	6
		answered	94
		skipped	0

Other (please specify): (6)

1	
2	unsure
3	not quite sure what is meant about removing streets??
4	Dynamic assessed month by month
5	And near the crown and anchor
6	See attached letter

Please tell us why this is: (55)

1	perhaps it should be more dynamic but i do not have access to crime reports for the area but it does not appear to be a high crime area
2	There are still issues in and around the town that need to be kept on top of.. I would only wish to see it reduced if extra resource was directed to more problematic areas such and the see front where I have witnessed drunks shouting and arguing every time I have been there.. I actually avoid the area now.
3	Because it's fine as it is . Why fix something if it's not broken !
4	We think that as it stands it has created a good mix, supporting all sides.
5	It has served its purpose well. No need for change.
6	Little Chelsea is part of the town centre
7	It is important to control the development of businesses licenced to sell alcohol and to provide late night entertainment (e.g. nightclubs and gambling establishments). If these type of businesses are not strictly controlled, Eastbourne will end up like Brighton & Hove, with high crime levels, on-street drug addicts and dealers etc.
8	Although crime seems to be reducing, it still isn't good enough. More needs to be done to reduce it further.

1. Eastbourne's Cumulative Impact Area was put in place in July 2007 to help prevent crime and disorder, increase public safety, prevent public nuisance and protect children from harm in the centre of town. Our licensing policy and the Cumulative Impact Area element of it, seeks to balance the needs of businesses operating in town and the rights of residents living near them. We are reviewing this policy and would like to hear from residents, businesses and local organisations about the size of the area to which the Cumulative Impact Policy applies. The full policy can be viewed here (see Appendix 2). When you have finished reading the policy click 'back' in your web browser to return to this survey. Do you think the Cumulative Impact Area should:

		Response Percent	Response Total
	Removing or reducing the area is not an option.		
9	As a resident near the little Chelsea area I see very little crime (if any) relating to drink. Trouble tends to be nearer to the town centre/seafront end.		
10	It will be wrong to remove		
11	Anything that get's people off our streets at a reasonable hour to reduce the absolute 'no go areas' that occur with drunken individuals in the town and surrounding area is an absolute must. Then these need to be enforced. It's not about spoiling people's enjoyment but more about letting people who want to enjoy themselves being able to do so without fear.		
12	I think it works well as it is.		
13	I don't think it works ,live in the middle of town nar the Vbar Bolton road the noise of the bar musc and people is unbearable Friday Saturday and Monday's ,when I go to the shops during the day you see the same drunks drinking and intimidating locals and tourist . People who sleep in shop doorways leave their bedding there all day ,what tourists think I don't know		
14	I don't think it works ,live in the middle of town nar the Vbar Bolton road the noise of the bar musc and people is unbearable Friday Saturday and Monday's ,when I go to the shops during the day you see the same drunks drinking and intimidating locals and tourist . People who sleep in shop doorways leave their bedding there all day ,what tourists think I don't know		
15	Our Town is growing beyond what we ever thought possible. Along with this is the fact that since 2007 Eastbourne has changed dramatically regarding safety. We have Drugs, Bullying, Attacks on innocent people, and a growing population. To take Policy away would be so wrong....it needs to be kept to ensure our crime ratio, Public safety, and the unsurmountable risk in this day and age, to keep our children safe....is kept paramount in our beautiful town		
16	The town centre especially is becoming a no go area at night with residents fearful of the rough sleepers and drunks.		
17	Thee is still need for adequate policing in this area, given the number of licensed bars and restaurants in the area, and the potential for misbehaviour.		
18	To meet the existing safety needs of the town centre businesses and for the people who are about after dark		
19	To meet the existing safety needs of the town centre businesses and for the people who are about after dark otherwise there would be more crime ,more violence and more abuse		
20	Unsure. Have only lived here for 6 months. Much better provision of public transport in the evenings would encourage wider participation in leisure and cultural activities in which alcohol is not the main focus.		
21	I don't feel the Little Chelsea area needs any more licensed premises as this will only increase nighttime disturbance to local residents living in the area. For example there has been a significant increase in residential accommodation since Dyke House was converted from commercial to residential usage. Many of these residents have small children who will suffer with any additional licences premises.		
22	To make it safer		
23	I'm in favour of keeping the town a safe place.		
24	for safety and to prevent disorder		
25	Be good to reduce it so that responsible businesses can create a wider cultural and social offer for residents and a younger and more diverse audience.		
26	There is still an issue with on street drinking		

1. Eastbourne's Cumulative Impact Area was put in place in July 2007 to help prevent crime and disorder, increase public safety, prevent public nuisance and protect children from harm in the centre of town. Our licensing policy and the Cumulative Impact Area element of it, seeks to balance the needs of businesses operating in town and the rights of residents living near them. We are reviewing this policy and would like to hear from residents, businesses and local organisations about the size of the area to which the Cumulative Impact Policy applies. The full policy can be viewed here (see Appendix 2). When you have finished reading the policy click 'back' in your web browser to return to this survey. Do you think the Cumulative Impact Area should:

		Response Percent	Response Total
27	Green areas and kids only zones should be created and make the town more attractive to families.		
28	There is no need to reduce the area.		
29	Eastbourne's Cumulative Impact Area was put in place in July 2007 to help prevent crime and disorder, increase public safety, prevent public nuisance and protect children from harm in the centre of town.		
30	<p>Little Chelsea stands to become a significant component of the town's evening offering upon completion of the redevelopment of the Arndale Centre.</p> <p>Businesses establishing themselves and existing in Little Chelsea tend to be independent and often unique to Eastbourne.</p> <p>Any policy that prevents the further development of such unique offerings and alternatives to the mainstream and chain offerings expected within the Arndale should be considered.</p> <p>The Cumulative Impact Area constitutes such a policy and should exclude Little Chelsea.</p>		
31	As a resident of Little Chelsea area I am tired of being disturbed by drunk people screaming & shouting at each other, urinating against my house and woken up in the early hours of the morning as they come out of the public houses on South Street or Maxims nightclub. This needs further control by local authorities not less in this area and certainly should NOT be removed from the Cumulative Impact policy		
32	As a resident of Little Chelsea area I am tired of being disturbed by drunk people screaming & shouting at each other, urinating against my house and woken up in the early hours of the morning as they come out of the public houses on South Street or Maxims nightclub. This needs further control by local authorities not less in this area and certainly should NOT be removed from the Cumulative Impact Area. Whether it be parking policy, or noise and anti social behaviour policies etc the Borough Council seems to determined to continue to ignore the fact that this is NOT solely a commercial area, it is also a very residential area with a varied demography, including older people and young families. It is the residents who constitute the local community as well as the local businesses.		
33	As a resident of Little Chelsea area I am tired of being disturbed by drunk people screaming & shouting at each other, urinating against my house and woken up in the early hours of the morning as they come out of the public houses on South Street or Maxims nightclub. This needs further control by local authorities not less in this area and certainly should NOT be removed from the Cumulative Impact Area. Whether it be parking policy, or noise and anti social behaviour policies etc the Borough Council seems to determined to continue to ignore the fact that this is NOT solely a commercial area, it is also a very residential area with a varied demography, including older people and young families. It is the residents who constitute the local community as well as the local businesses.		
34	Little Chelsea needs to have more of a buzz about it . It would be great to develop this area further and bring more people in.		
35	<p>It would be helpful if the data included in Appendix 2 could be more up-to-date as it is 4 years old and matters may have changed since 2013.</p> <p>Could the rules on the prohibition of street drinking be extended to include the areas of private/commercial premises open to public access e.g. I believe M&S have had to restrict access to their collection area as the drinkers have started to congregate behind the gates. Also drinkers can be frequently seen on the steps of All Souls Church on Susans Road. It is not a good advert for Eastbourne.</p>		
36	Public safety.		
37	To allow the Little Chelsea area to increase its leisure business economy, which will help mitigate the loss of traditional retail		
38	Little Chelsea area needs to be retained in the plan		

1. Eastbourne's Cumulative Impact Area was put in place in July 2007 to help prevent crime and disorder, increase public safety, prevent public nuisance and protect children from harm in the centre of town. Our licensing policy and the Cumulative Impact Area element of it, seeks to balance the needs of businesses operating in town and the rights of residents living near them. We are reviewing this policy and would like to hear from residents, businesses and local organisations about the size of the area to which the Cumulative Impact Policy applies. The full policy can be viewed here (see Appendix 2). When you have finished reading the policy click 'back' in your web browser to return to this survey. Do you think the Cumulative Impact Area should:

		Response Percent	Response Total
39	No major issues in Little Chelsea and more high quality entertainment and leisuRe premises shouLd be encouraged in this area.		
40	It seems to be working		
41	All the town centre should be protected - removing areas is only likely to encourage trouble makers to move into such and unmanaged space		
42	Your data for this report is from pre 2013 its is 4 years old and potentially worthless because of the very dymanic nature of population and crime these days.		
43	it is affecting new businesses who wish to sell licensed products eastbourne council should be helping new businesses not limiting them		
44	Why just cant it be normal like before the giant super markets are taking over everything and demolishing the small businesses. What is the point of CIA when tescos on grove road did get a premises license to sell alcohol but the council wouldnt let small business to get one. This is all fraud in my opinion		
45	I trust the officals		
46	Little Chelsea needs to be included		
47	Little Chelsea needs to be included		
48	Remove Little Chelsea area		
49	To remain effective		
50	bbbbbb		
51	To help increase the number of nightlife establishments in the centre.		
52	It's fine		
53	For safety reasons		
54	If reduced street drinkers will still use those that are left. To remove all together will upset the customers who shop in little Chelsea. This may effect foot fall and sales for those retailers.		
55	Keeps public order in those areas or as best it can		

2. Are you responding as an individual or an organisation?

		Response Percent	Response Total
1	Individual	82.61%	19
2	Organisation	13.04%	3
3	Other (please specify):	4.35%	1
		answered	23
		skipped	71
Other (please specify): (1)			

2. Are you responding as an individual or an organisation?

		Response Percent	Response Total
1	Nightwatch scheme		

3. What is the name of your organisation?

		Response Percent	Response Total
1	Open-Ended Question	100.00%	3
	1	Hunt Commercial	
	2	Palm Court Hotel	
	3	The Crown and Anchor	
		answered	3
		skipped	91

4. Where is your organisation based?

		Response Percent	Response Total
1	Open-Ended Question	100.00%	3
	1	South Street	
	2	Eastbourne	
	3	marine Parade	
		answered	3
		skipped	91

5. What is your age?

		Response Percent	Response Total
1	Under 18	0.00%	0
2	18 - 24	5.00%	1
3	25 - 34	0.00%	0
4	35 - 44	20.00%	4
5	45 - 54	20.00%	4
6	55 - 64	25.00%	5
7	65 - 74	30.00%	6
8	75 +	0.00%	0
9	Prefer not to say	0.00%	0
		answered	20

5. What is your age?

	Response Percent	Response Total
	skipped	74

6. What is your ethnic group?

	Response Percent	Response Total
1 English	85.00%	17
2 Other British	0.00%	0
3 Irish	0.00%	0
4 Any Other White background	5.00%	1
5 White and Black Caribbean	0.00%	0
6 White and Black African	0.00%	0
7 White and Asian	0.00%	0
8 Any Other Mixed background	0.00%	0
9 Indian	5.00%	1
10 Pakistani	0.00%	0
11 Bangladeshi	0.00%	0
12 Chinese	0.00%	0
13 Any Other Asian background	0.00%	0
14 Caribbean	0.00%	0
15 African	0.00%	0
16 Any Other Black background	0.00%	0
17 Arab	0.00%	0
18 Gypsy/Romany/Irish Traveller	5.00%	1
19 Any Other	0.00%	0
	answered	20
	skipped	74

7. What is your nationality?

	Response Percent	Response Total
1 Open-Ended Question	100.00%	20
1 British		
2 English		
3 British		
4 english		

7. What is your nationality?

		Response Percent	Response Total
5	British		
6	British		
7	British		
8	British		
9	Indian		
10	British		
11	white british		
12	British		
13	British		
14	British		
15	BRITISH anti-Europe		
16	british		
17	British		
18	Irish		
19	English		
20	British		
		answered	20
		skipped	74

8. Do you have a long-term health problem or disability?

		Response Percent	Response Total
1	Yes	20.00%	4
2	No	80.00%	16
3	Prefer not to say	0.00%	0
		answered	20
		skipped	74

9. What is your gender?

		Response Percent	Response Total
1	Male	50.00%	10
2	Female	50.00%	10
		answered	20
		skipped	74

10. What is your sexual orientation?

		Response Percent	Response Total
1	Bisexual	0.00%	0
2	Gay man	5.00%	1
3	Gay woman or lesbian	0.00%	0
4	Heterosexual or straight	70.00%	14
5	Prefer not to say	15.00%	3
6	Other (please specify):	10.00%	2
		answered	20
		skipped	74
Other (please specify): (2)			
1	WHAT HAS THAT GOT TO DO WITH ANYTHING		
2	Transgender		

11. What is your religion?

		Response Percent	Response Total
1	What is your religion?	5.00%	1
2	No religion	20.00%	4
3	Christian	45.00%	9
4	Buddhist	5.00%	1
5	Hindu	5.00%	1
6	Jewish	5.00%	1
7	Muslim	0.00%	0
8	Sikh	5.00%	1
9	Prefer not to say	10.00%	2
10	Other (please specify):	0.00%	0
		answered	20
		skipped	74
Other (please specify): (0)			
No answers found.			